# CS514: Design and Analysis of Algorithms 

## Recursion: Dynamic Programming
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## Recursive modeling

- Classical Sanskrit poetry distinguishes between two types of syllables (aksara): light (laghu) and heavy (guru). In one class of meters, each line of poetry consists of a fixed number of "beats" (matra), where each light syllable lasts one beat and each heavy syllable lasts two beats. Pingala observed that there are exactly five 4-beat meters: $--,-\cdots, \cdots-,-\cdot$, and $\cdots$. How many $n$-beat meters are possible?


## Recursive modeling

- Classical Sanskrit poetry distinguishes between two types of syllables (aksara): light (laghu) and heavy (guru). In one class of meters, each line of poetry consists of a fixed number of "beats" (matra), where each light syllable lasts one beat and each heavy syllable lasts two beats. Pingala observed that there are exactly five 4-beat meters: $--,-\cdots, \cdots-, \cdot-\cdot$, and $\cdots$. How many $n$-beat meters are possible?
- Consider implementation of cell towers along a straight highway. There are $n$ possible locations $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ available. The $i$-th location can serve $p_{i}$ number of people. You can build cell towers in any location as long as you don't build towers in adjacent locations. What is the largest number of people you can cover?

| C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | 49 | 42 | 85 | 140 | 60 |

## Recursive modeling

- $n$ beats: $T(n)=T(n-1)+T(n-2), T(0)=1, T(1)=1$
- Cell tower: $S(n)=\max \left\{S(n-1), p_{n}+S(n-2)\right\}, T(0)=0, T(1)=p_{1}$


## Recursive modeling

- $n$ beats: $T(n)=T(n-1)+T(n-2), T(0)=1, T(1)=1$
- Cell tower: $S(n)=\max \left\{S(n-1), p_{n}+S(n-2)\right\}, T(0)=0, T(1)=p_{1}$



## Dynamic Programming

- Overlapping subproblems - Different branches of the recursion will reuse each other's work.
- Optimal substructure - The optimal solution for one problem instance is formed from optimal solutions for smaller problems.
- Polynomial subproblems - The number of subproblems is small enough to be evaluated in polynomial time.
- A dynamic programming algorithm is one that evaluates all subproblems in a particular order to ensure that all subproblems are evaluated only once.


## Rod cutting-1

- Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces
- Example:

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |

## Rod cutting-1

- Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces
- Example:

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |

- Recursive definition: $r_{n}=\max \left\{p_{i}+r_{n-i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$


## Rod cutting: Top-down-1

- Cut-Rod $(p, n)$

1. if $n=0$ return 0
2. $q=-\infty$
3. for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n
4. $q=\max \left\{q, p_{i}+\operatorname{Cut}-\operatorname{Rod}(p, n-i)\right\}$
5. return $q$

## Rod cutting: Top-down-2

- Initialize $\operatorname{LUT}[i]=-\infty \forall i=1, \ldots, n$
- Cut-Rod-Memoized (p, n, LUT)

1. if $L U T[n] \geq 0$ return $L U T[n]$
2. if $n==0$ then $q=0$
3. else
4. $q=-\infty$
5. for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n
6. $\quad q=\max \left\{q, p_{i}+\operatorname{Cut}-\operatorname{Rod}-\operatorname{Memoized}(p, n-i, L U T)\right\}$
7. $\operatorname{LUT}[n]=q$
8. return $q$

Rod cutting: Recursion Tree

Rod cutting: Recursion Tree


## Rod cutting: Bottom-up-1

- Cut-Rod-Bottom-up $(p, n)$

1. Let $r[n]$ be an array, $r[0]=0$;
2. for $\mathrm{j}=1$ to n
3. $q=-\infty$
4. for $i=1$ to $j$
5. $q=\max \left\{q, p_{i}+r[j-i]\right\}$
6. $r[j]=q$
7. return $r[n]$

## Rod cutting: Example

$$
\begin{array}{l|llllcccccc}
\text { length } i & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline \text { price } p_{i} & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 17 & 17 & 20 & 24 & 30
\end{array}
$$

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| revenue |  |
| cut-point |  |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 |
| :---: | :--- |
| revenue | 1 |
| cut-point | 1 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| revenue | 1 | 5 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 17 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 18 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 22 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 25 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## Rod cutting: Example

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


| index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenue | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 30 |
| cut-point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 |

## Rod cutting: Bottom-up-2

- Cut-Rod-Bottom-up $(p, n)$

1. Let $r[n], s[n]$ be two arrays, $r[0]=0$;
2. for $\mathrm{j}=1$ to n
3. $q=-\infty$
4. for $i=1$ to $j$
5. if $q<p_{i}+r[j-i]$
6. $\quad q=p_{i}+r[j-i] ; s[j]=i$
7. $r[j]=q$
8. return $r$ and $s$

## Rod cutting: Bottom-up-2

- Cut-Rod-Bottom-up $(p, n)$

1. Let $r[n], s[n]$ be two arrays, $r[0]=0$;
2. for $j=1$ to $n$
3. $q=-\infty$
4. for $i=1$ to $j$
5. if $q<p_{i}+r[j-i]$
6. $\quad q=p_{i}+r[j-i] ; s[j]=i$
7. $r[j]=q$
8. return $r$ and $s$

- Print-Soln $(p, n, s)$ :

1. while $n>0$
2. print $s[n]$
3. $n=n-s[n]$

## Matrix Chain Multiplication

- Given a sequence (chain) $\left\langle A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\rangle$, matrix $A_{i}$ has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_{i}$, fully parentesize the product $A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ in way that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications.


## Matrix Chain Multiplication

- Given a sequence (chain) $\left\langle A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\rangle$, matrix $A_{i}$ has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_{i}$, fully parentesize the product $A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ in way that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications.
- For $n=4$ we have the following options

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2}\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)\right) A_{4}\right) \\
\left(A_{1}\left(\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right) A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

## Matrix Chain Multiplication

- Given a sequence (chain) $\left\langle A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\rangle$, matrix $A_{i}$ has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_{i}$, fully parentesize the product $A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ in way that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications.
- For $n=4$ we have the following options

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2}\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)\right) A_{4}\right) \\
\left(A_{1}\left(\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right) A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

- Let the dimension of matrices are as follows - $5 \times 2,2 \times 1,1 \times 10,10 \times 100$. Compute the number of multiplications for above cases.


## Matrix Chain Multiplication

- Given a sequence (chain) $\left\langle A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\rangle$, matrix $A_{i}$ has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_{i}$, fully parentesize the product $A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ in way that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications.
- For $n=4$ we have the following options

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2}\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)\right) A_{4}\right) \\
\left(A_{1}\left(\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right) A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

- Let the dimension of matrices are as follows - $5 \times 2,2 \times 1,1 \times 10,10 \times 100$. Compute the number of multiplications for above cases.
- Recursive definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MCM}(i, j)= & \min _{k}\left\{p_{i-1} p_{k} p_{j}+\operatorname{MCM}(i, k)+\operatorname{MCM}(k+1, j)\right\}, \text { if } i \leq k<j \\
= & =0, \text { if } i=j
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matrix Chain Multiplication

- Given a sequence (chain) $\left\langle A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\rangle$, matrix $A_{i}$ has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_{i}$, fully parentesize the product $A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{n}$ in way that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications.
- For $n=4$ we have the following options

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2}\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)\right) A_{4}\right) \\
\left(A_{1}\left(\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right)\right), & \left(\left(\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right) A_{3}\right) A_{4}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

- Let the dimension of matrices are as follows - $5 \times 2,2 \times 1,1 \times 10,10 \times 100$. Compute the number of multiplications for above cases.
- Recursive definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MCM}(i, j)= & \min _{k}\left\{p_{i-1} p_{k} p_{j}+\operatorname{MCM}(i, k)+\operatorname{MCM}(k+1, j)\right\}, \text { if } i \leq k<j \\
& =0, \text { if } i=j
\end{aligned}
$$

- Subproblems can be identified by two indices $i, j$


## Matrix Multiplication

- MatMult $\left(A_{p \times q}, B_{q \times r}, C_{p \times r}\right)$

1. Initialize $C_{i j}=0, \forall i, j$
2. for $i=1, \ldots, p$
3. $f$ for $j=1, \ldots, r$
4. for $k=1, \ldots, q$
5. $\quad C_{i j}=C_{i j}+A_{i k} \times B_{k j}$

- Time complexity is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$. However, we do not need to compute the product.
- We can determine the number of multiplications, pqr, in $O(1)$ time


## MCM: Top-down

- Let us assume Count $[n, n]$ stores number of multiplications and $L U T[n, n]$ stores breakup point
- Initialize Count $[i, j]=\infty$ if $i \neq j$ and 0 if $i=j$
- MCM (i,j, Count, LUT)

1. if $i=j$ then Count $[i, i]=0 ; \operatorname{LUT}[i, i]=0$; return 0 ;
2. 
3. for $k=i, \ldots, j-1$
4. $\quad q_{k}=\operatorname{MCM}(i, k)+\operatorname{MCM}(k+1, j)+p_{i-1} p_{k} p_{j}$
5. $q_{\text {min }}=\min _{k}\left\{q_{k}\right\} ; b p=\arg \min _{k}\left\{q_{k}\right\}$
6. 
7. return $q_{\text {min }}$

## MCM: Top-down

- Let us assume Count $[n, n]$ stores number of multiplications and $L U T[n, n]$ stores breakup point
- Initialize Count $[i, j]=\infty$ if $i \neq j$ and 0 if $i=j$
- MCM (i,j, Count, LUT)

1. if $i=j$ then Count $[i, i]=0 ; \operatorname{LUT}[i, i]=0$; return 0 ;
2. if Count $[i, j]<\infty$ return Count $[i, j]$
3. for $k=i, \ldots, j-1$
4. $\quad q_{k}=\operatorname{MCM}(i, k)+\operatorname{MCM}(k+1, j)+p_{i-1} p_{k} p_{j}$
5. $q_{\text {min }}=\min _{k}\left\{q_{k}\right\} ; b p=\arg \min _{k}\left\{q_{k}\right\}$
6. Count $[i, j]=q_{\min } ; \operatorname{LUT}[i, j]=b p$
7. return $q_{\text {min }}$

## MCM: Recursion Tree

1,4

## MCM: Recursion Tree



## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$


## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |



## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 | 7875 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 | 7875 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 | 4375 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 | 7875 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 | 4375 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 2500 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 | 7875 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 | 4375 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 2500 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 3500 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 | 7875 | 9375 | 11875 | 15125 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 | 4375 | 7125 | 10500 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 2500 | 5375 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 3500 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## MCM: Example

- Let us assume: $p=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}30 & 35 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 20 & 25\end{array}\right]$

| 0 | 15750 | 7875 | 9375 | 11875 | 15125 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 2625 | 4375 | 7125 | 10500 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 2500 | 5375 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 3500 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## $\left(A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)\right)\left(\left(A_{4} A_{5}\right) A_{6}\right)$

## MCM: Bottom-up

- Let us assume Count $[n, n]$ stores number of multiplications and $L U T[n, n]$ stores breakup point
- MCM-Bottom-Up(i,j, Count, LUT)

1. for $i=1, \ldots, n$ do Count $[i, i]=0, L U T[i, i]=0$
2. for $I=2, \ldots, n$
3. for $i=1, \ldots, n-I+1$
4. $j=i+I-1 ; \operatorname{Count}[i, j]=\infty$
5. for $k=1, \ldots, j-1$
6. $\quad q=\operatorname{Count}(i, k)+\operatorname{Count}(k+1, j)+p_{i-1} p_{k} p_{j}$
7. if Count $[i, j]>q$ then $\operatorname{count}[i, j]=q ; \operatorname{LUT}[i, j]=k$
8. return Count, LUT

## MCM: Parenthesization

- MCM-Print $(i, j, L U T)$

1. if $i=j$ print " $A_{i}$ "
2. else
3. print "("
4. MCM-Print $(i, \operatorname{LUT}[i, j], L U T)$
5. MCM-Print $(L U T[i, j]+1, j, L U T)$
6. print ")"

## Edit distance-1

- When a spell checker encounters a possible misspelling, it looks in its dictionary for other words that are close by. What is the appropriate notion of closeness in this case?


## Edit distance-1

- When a spell checker encounters a possible misspelling, it looks in its dictionary for other words that are close by. What is the appropriate notion of closeness in this case?
- The edit distance between two strings is the minimum number of letter insertions, letter deletions, and letter substitutions required to transform one string into the other
- Example: SNOWY and SUNNY



## Edit distance-1

- When a spell checker encounters a possible misspelling, it looks in its dictionary for other words that are close by. What is the appropriate notion of closeness in this case?
- The edit distance between two strings is the minimum number of letter insertions, letter deletions, and letter substitutions required to transform one string into the other
- Example: SNOWY and SUNNY

- Problem definition: Given two strings $x[1 . . m]$ and $y[1 . . n]$, and opertions (a) insert in $y$, (b) delete from $x$, (c) substitute, find the minimum number of edits needed to transform the first string to second. Assume cost of operations are as $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$.


## Edit distance-2

- Recursive definition:
$\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=\min \{\alpha+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}-1), \beta+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}), \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}-1)\}$, where $\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=0$ if $x_{i}=y_{j}$ and $\gamma$ otherwise


## Edit distance-2

- Recursive definition:
$\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=\min \{\alpha+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}-1), \beta+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}), \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}-1)\}$, where $\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=0$ if $x_{i}=y_{j}$ and $\gamma$ otherwise
- $\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, 0)=$ ??, $\operatorname{Edit}(0, \mathrm{j})=$ ??


## Edit distance-2

- Recursive definition:
$\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=\min \{\alpha+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}-1), \beta+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}), \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})+\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{j}-1)\}$, where $\operatorname{diff}(i, j)=0$ if $x_{i}=y_{j}$ and $\gamma$ otherwise
- $\operatorname{Edit}(\mathrm{i}, 0)=$ ??, $\operatorname{Edit}(0, \mathrm{j})=$ ??
- Assuming $\mathbf{x}=$ "INTENTION", $\mathbf{y}=$ "EXECUTION" and $\alpha=\beta=1, \gamma=2$


## Edit distance-3

- Assuming $\mathbf{x}=$ "INTENTION", $\mathbf{y}=$ "EXECUTION" and $\alpha=\beta=1, \gamma=2$

|  | $\#$ | E | X | E | C | U | T | I | O | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| I | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| N | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| T | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| E | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| N | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| T | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| I | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| O | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| N | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

## Edit distance-4

- Assuming $\mathbf{x}=$ "INTENTION", $\mathbf{y}=$ "EXECUTION" and $\alpha=\beta=1, \gamma=2$

|  | $\#$ | E | X | E | C | U | T | I | O | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{\#}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| I | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| N | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| T | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| E | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| N | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| T | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| I | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| O | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| N | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

## Longest Increasing Subsequence

- For any sequence $S$, a subsequence of $S$ is another sequence obtained from $S$ by deleting zero or more elements, without changing the order of the remaining elements; the elements of the subsequence need not be contiguous in $S$
- Example: $S=3,1,4,2,5,9,7,13,11,19,15,18$, Increasing Subsequence $-S^{\prime}=$ $3,4,5,9,13,19, S^{\prime \prime}=1,2,5,7,11,15,18$
- Given a $S$, find LIS


## Longest Increasing Subsequence

- For any sequence $S$, a subsequence of $S$ is another sequence obtained from $S$ by deleting zero or more elements, without changing the order of the remaining elements; the elements of the subsequence need not be contiguous in $S$
- Example: $S=3,1,4,2,5,9,7,13,11,19,15,18$, Increasing Subsequence $-S^{\prime}=$ $3,4,5,9,13,19, S^{\prime \prime}=1,2,5,7,11,15,18$
- Given a $S$, find LIS
- Steps:LIS(n)

1. for $\mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
2. $\operatorname{LIS}(j)=1+\max \left\{\operatorname{LIS}(i): S_{i}<S_{j}\right\}, i<j$
3. return $\max _{j} L I S(j)$

## Knapsack

- During a robbery, a burglar finds much more loot than he had expected and has to decide what to take. His bag will hold a total weight of at most $W$ kgs. There are $n$ items to pick from, of weight $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ and INR value $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. What's the most valuable combination of items he can fit into his bag? Develop state-space exploration based approach.


## Knapsack

- During a robbery, a burglar finds much more loot than he had expected and has to decide what to take. His bag will hold a total weight of at most $W$ kgs. There are $n$ items to pick from, of weight $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ and INR value $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. What's the most valuable combination of items he can fit into his bag? Develop state-space exploration based approach.
- What will happen if repetition is allowed?


## Exercise

- Longest Common Subsequence:
- A subsequence of a string is obtained by taking a string and possibly deleting zero or more elements.
- If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is string and $1 \leq i_{1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{k} \leq n$ is a strictly increasing sequence of indices, then $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}$ is a subsequence of $x$
- For example, art is a subsequence of algorithm.
- In the longest common subsequence problem, given strings $x$ and $y$ we want to find the longest string that is a subsequence of both
- For example, art is the longest common subsequence of algorithm and parachute.


## Exercise

- Longest Common Subsequence:
- A subsequence of a string is obtained by taking a string and possibly deleting zero or more elements.
- If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is string and $1 \leq i_{1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{k} \leq n$ is a strictly increasing sequence of indices, then $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}$ is a subsequence of $x$
- For example, art is a subsequence of algorithm.
- In the longest common subsequence problem, given strings $x$ and $y$ we want to find the longest string that is a subsequence of both
- For example, art is the longest common subsequence of algorithm and parachute.
- Recursive definition: length of $x$ and $y$ are $i, j$ respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LCS}(i, j)=0, \quad \text { if } i=0 \text { or } j=0 \\
&= \max \left\{\operatorname{LCS}(i-1, j), \operatorname{LCS}(i, j-1), \operatorname{LCS}(i-1, j-1)+e q\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \quad e q\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)=x_{i}==y_{j} ? 1: 0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$



